

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of	MAIL STOP AMENDMENT
Eduardo Cue et al.	Group Art Unit: 3625
Application No.: 09/544,718) Sexaminer: James H. Zurita
Filed: April 6, 2000) Confirmation No.: 9145
For: VIRTUAL BUNDLES))

RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated May 3, 2007, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of the claims.

At the outset, Applicants respectfully request clarification of the record. On page 2, the Office Action states that claims 86, 88-94, 96-102 and 104-109, namely all pending claims, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103. However, on page 7 the Office Action indicates that claims 88, 96 and 104 would be allowable. This statement refers to a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. However, there is no such rejection appearing in the Office Action. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request clarification regarding the status of claims 88, 96 and 104.

The claims were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103, on the basis of the Henson patent (U.S. 6,167,383) in view of the article by Joseph E. Maglitta entitled "Special DELL-Ivery" appearing in Electronic Business, Highlands Ranch: December 1997, Vol. 23, issue 12, pages 43-47, and the article from Business Wire entitled "Dell ImageWatch Service Enhances Technology Management for Corporation Customers...", January 21, 1999. Applicant respectfully submits that these